In The

Supreme Court of Mayflower

No. 02-10

TACTICAL PANCAKES,

Applicant,

v.

SADOIMPACTO,

Respondent.

On Application for Injunctive Relief

The application for injunctive relief presented to JUSTICE NOTABLE and by him referred to the Court is denied.

Statement of JUSTICE NOTABLE, with whom THE CHIEF JUSTICE joins, respecting the denial of the application for injunctive relief.

The applicant presents two dubious contentions: (1) a hearing, among other protections, is required before a finding of direct criminal contempt, and (2) courts lack the unilateral authority to dismantle an attorney-client relationship. Only by quoting language from inapposite decisions, with disregard for pertinent context, does the applicant solicit the Court's favor. Because the emergency application is devoid of supporting legal authority, no determination can be made as to whether we would be likely to grant certiorari were the applicant's case to come to us in the usual course. *See Ohio v. Env't Prot. Agency*, 603 U.S. 279, 304 (2024) (Barrett, J., dissenting) (citing *Does 1–3 v. Mills*, 595 U. S. ____, ____ (2021) (Barrett, J., concurring); *Hollingsworth v. Perry*, 558 U.S. 183, 190 (2010) (*per curiam*)).